

Remarks

I. Election/Restrictions

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the phone interview on February 9, 2005 to clarify the issues set forth in the Office Action by the Examiner. As discussed in the telephone interview and in response to the restriction requirement, Applicant elects without traverse Group IV, claims 106-116.

Drawings

According to Examiner's instructions, Applicant hereby submits replacement drawings Figure 7, Figure 8 and Figure 9 with the figure numbers 141, 204 and 68, respectively, omitted. In addition, label 202 in FIG. 8 should have been 204. Accordingly, FIG. 8 was amended to change 202 to 204 and correctly identify 202. No other changes were made and no new matter was added. Applicant now believes that the drawings are in compliance with the Examiner's request.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC §112

The Examiner objected to the use of the term “type” in claims 1, 3, 13, 18, 22, 34, 39, 43, 46, 55, 60, 67, 79, 80, 82, 83, 90, 94, 117, 120, 122, 128, 129, 137, 148, and 153 because the term renders the claim indefinite under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. None of these claims fall within Group IV. Accordingly, Applicant has taken no further action in this respect.

A new claim listing begins on page 3 of this document.